

Ahmed et al

Nutrient digestibility, nitrogen balance and rumen metabolites profile of growing Yankasa rams fed *Brachiaria ruziziensis* with concentrate mixture ratios in a total mixed ration

¹Ahmed, S. A, ¹Ishiaku, Y.M, ¹Ibrahim, H. ²Salisu, S.G. ¹Sani, R.T, and Ibrahim, U.M.

¹National Animal Production Research Institute, P.M.B 1096, Ahmadu Bello University, Shika, Zaria, Nigeria.

²Department of Animal Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Shika, Zaria, Nigeria.

Corresponding author: safiyanahmed2@gmail.com, Tel: +2347069108385

ABSTRACT

*A Digestibility trial which lasted for (21) days was carried out at the metabolic pen of the Small Ruminant Research Programme of the national animal production Research Institute (NAPRI), Ahmadu Bello University, Shika-Zaria to determine the nutrient digestibility, nitrogen balance and rumen metabolites concentration of growing Yankasa rams fed different ratios of *Brachiaria ruziziensis* and concentrate in a total mixed ration in Northern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. Twelve healthy Growing Yankasa rams with an average live weight of 21.75kg±1kg were randomly allocated to four treatments with three replicates in a Complete Randomized Design (CRD). The treatment diets were ratio of *Brachiaria ruziziensis* to concentrate: (90:10), (80:20), (70:30) and (60:40) mixtures. The DM, OM, CP, CF, EE NFE and ADF digestibility showed significant variation ($P<0.05$) across the treatments. The Crude protein digestibility (CPD) was significantly ($P<0.05$) higher (84.25%) in (60:40) ratio *B.ruziziensis* to concentrate mixture while the least value of 76.12% was recorded in rams fed *B.ruziziensis* to concentrate mixture (90:10) ratio. Nitrogen intake, nitrogen absorbed, nitrogen retained and absorbed as percentage of intake (61.28%, 59.16%, 57.58%, 93.75% and 96.48%) respectively was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) in animals fed (60:40) mixture ratio. Rumen pH (6.80), Total volatile fatty acid (TVFA) (44.87), Rumen Ammonia nitrogen ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$) (16.18) significantly ($P<0.05$) differed across treatments with animal fed (60:40) ratio of *B.ruziziensis* to concentrate mixture recorded the highest value. Therefore, it could be concluded from this study that feeding Yankasa rams with 60:40 ratio *B.ruziziensis* to concentrate mixture ratio in a total mixed ration improved nutrient digestibility, nitrogen retention and rumen metabolites concentration in yankasa rams. Feeding of *B.ruziziensis* to concentrate at a ratio of 60:40 in a total mixed ration is hereby recommended for livestock farmers for better utilization in the Northern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria.*

Key words: *Brachiaria ruziziensis*, Yankasa Rams, Total mixed ration, Concentrate, Digestibility.

Description of Problem

Small ruminants play a key role in bridging the wide gap in the supply of animal protein for human consumption (1) because of their special features with relatively short generation interval as

compared to cattle, and also have high reproductive rate and low production cost. Given the estimated population of 72.5 million goats and 41.3 million sheep (2) and specifically about 70 percent of the sheep and goat populations are

Ahmed et al

concentrated in the northern region of the country. Concentration of Nigeria's livestock-base in the northern region is most likely to have been influenced by the ecological condition of the region, which is characterized by low rainfall duration, lighter sandy soils and longer dry season. Therefore, the importance and advantages of small ruminants cannot be over looked.

Feed scarcity is one of the major constraints to livestock production in the West African Sub-region (3). The poor quality of feed resources available to ruminants results into low plane of nutrition (4) and low productivity of our indigenous animals, (5). In Nigeria, the major challenge to livestock production is ensuring adequate feed supply throughout the year in terms of quality and quantity (6). Ruminants depend largely on crop residues during the long dry periods of the year for maintenance as well as production of meat, milk, skin and fibre. Feeding grains to ruminants is questioned, because of stiff competition from man and industries as raw materials and also from monogastric animals. On the other hand, ruminants are characterized by their ability to convert low quality roughage to products that are useful to man e.g. meat, milk natural fibers, leather and manure.

The main feed resources for ruminant animals are pastures, crop residues and other agro-industrial by-products. In the dry season and post-harvest periods, these feed resources become the main sources of energy for use by ruminants when poor quality forages from the range land prevail (7). The quantity and quality of available feedstuffs are the major factors influencing productivity of ruminants in many parts of the world, especially regions with high animal numbers. Crop

residues are potential feed resources especially during the dry season. However, cereals from these crop residues are generally low in digestibility, because of high fibre content and are deficient in nitrogen, minerals and vitamins. The cell walls of low-quality roughages are generally high in indigestible fractions of lignin and silica (8). However, animal performance with such feedstuffs can be poor due to low voluntary intake and digestibility, which result from low protein concentrations and high levels of indigestible or slowly degradable fibre (9).

Brachiaria is one of the most important forage grasses grown for pastures in the tropics (10). *Brachiaria* is a species of grass originating from the Savanna of the Eastern part of Africa. The grass species is widely used as livestock feed. *Brachiaria* species are either annual or perennial grasses, with most of the species lacking rhizomes. The inflorescence has panicle branches composed of racemes and the entire plant usually do not grow higher than 1m. *Brachiaria* belongs to the C4 plants which tolerate drier conditions and more light exposure than many other plants (11). In this context, (12) reported that species of the genus *Brachiaria* are frequently used in no-till systems because these plants are highly adaptable and tolerant to limiting conditions that would affect other forage species negatively. *B. ruziziensis* has a good nutritive value and is more palatable than most other *Brachiaria* spp. Its crude protein (CP) content commonly ranged from 7–13% and up to 20% with dry matter digestibility of 55–75%. For *B. ruziziensis* grass hay cut 45 days after seeding in northeast Thailand, the IVDMD, crude fibre, NDF and ME

concentrations were 61%, 80.5%, 72.8% and 7.9 MJ/kg, respectively (13). Selective grazing, heavy grazing pressure and the need for high soil fertility can result in the loss of *B. ruziziensis* grass (14).

Materials and Method

Location of the Study

This Experiment was carried out at the the metabolic pen of the Small Ruminant Research Programme of the national animal production Research Institute (NAPRI), Ahmdu Bello University, Shika-Zaria, in the Northern Guinea Savannah zone of Nigeria. Shika is geographically located between latitude 11° 12'N and longitude 7° 33'E at an altitude of 660m above sea level (15), Wet season starts from April to early May and ends in late September to early October. Long- term annual rainfall ranges from 1110 to 1580 mm with maximum temperature of 30°C and relative humidity of approximately 70% (16).

Management of Experimental Animals:

Twelve (12) healthy Growing Yankasa rams of about 12 months old and similar weight (21 ± 0.3 kg) were obtained from a livestock market of Gadar Gayan Village in Igabi Local Government Area of Kaduna state and used. The Experimental animals were given profilactic treatment against both endo and ecto parasite using *Albendazole* 20 ml/ 10 kg, *Amiticks* spray (200 ml/ 20 ltr), *Ivermectin* (subcute) 0.1ml/10kg and Long-Acting Tetracycline (antibiotics) against bacterial infection. The Animals were acclimatized and fed experimental diet both the concentrate and forage obtained from the institute.

Digestibility Trial

At the end of the 90days feeding trial Phase, three (3) rams from each group

were kept in an individual metabolic cage as described by (17). At the end of the 14days adjustment phase, urine and faeces were collected for a period of 7 days. he daily faecal output per treatment was weighed, Sub sampled and oven dried at 60 °C for 6 hours, for DM determination. The faeces were bulked and sub-sampled was milled and stored in polythene bags until ready for laboratory analysis. The Daily urine output was collected in plastic containers acidified with 10mls of 0.1M H₂SO₄ to prevent nitrogen loss through volatilization. Daily urine was bulk and 10% subsampled and kept in the refrigerator until ready for analysis to determine nitrogen as reported by (17).

Chemical Analysis

A Sub-sample from the bulk of the experimental diet and faecal sample were obtained, dried and milled and taken to the Central Laboratory Services Unit of the National Animal Production Research Institute (NAPRI), Ahmadu Bello University, Shika-Zaria, for the determination of Crude Protein, Crude Fiber (CF), Ether Extract (EE), and Ash (A) content while soluble carbohydrates (NFE) by Formular That is: $NFE = 100 - (\% \text{ ash} + \% \text{ crude fiber} + \% \text{ crude fat} + \% \text{ crude protein})$. N-content was determined using the method of Micro Kjeldahl procedure of (18). M.E was calculated using the equation of Alderman, (1985) as $ME(MJ/KgDM) = (0.012x CP) + (0.031x EE) + (0.005x CF) + (0.0014x NFE)$. Neutral detergent fibre (NDF), and Acid detergent fibre (ADF), by the method of (19). Mineral content (Calcium and Phosphorus) was determined by (18) Methods using the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

Statistical Analysis:

Ahmed et al

All data collected on the animal experiment was subjected to ANOVA using SAS package (20) and the means were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test (21).

Statistical Model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_j + E_{ij}$$

Where:

Y_{ij} = Dependent variable.

μ = Population means.

T_j = Effect of Treatment Diet (j = 90:10, 80:20, 70:30 and 60:40 ratios of *Brachiaria ruziziensis* to concentrate).

E_{ij} = Random error.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical composition and Energy content of Experimental Diet (*Brachiaria ruziziensis* and Concentrate) ratios in a total mixed ration

Table 1 presents the chemical composition of the experimental diet. Organic Matter (89.70) of the concentrate diet was similar to (89.55) of the *Brachiaria ruziziensis* hay. C.P content of the concentrate diet (12.63) is higher (P<0.05) than the hay (8.25) which is

above the minimum requirement of a ruminant animal for maintenance as reported by (22) and (23) which ranges between 6-8% CP as efficient for rumen function and maintenance. The organic matter of the different experimental diet mixtures presented in table 2 was similar across the treatments 90:10, 80:20, 70:30 and 60:40, (92.21,92.01,91.39 and 92.60) respectively. The C.P content of the mixture ratios was within the range of (6-8%) C.P in 90:10 and 80:20 but higher (8.13 and 11.75) in 70:30 and 60:40 respectively. M.E was similar (3807.75,3876.30 and 3893.26) in 80:20, 70:30 and 60:40 respectively and the least was 2292.9 kcal/kg in 90:10.

Table 1: Composition of Concentrate Diet

Ingredient	Percentage (%)
Maize	31.2
Maize offal	15.6
Wheat offal	15.6
Cotton seed cake	34.6
Bone meal	2
Salt	1
Total	100.0

Table 2: Chemical Composition and Energy Content of *Brachiaria ruziziensis* : concentrate mixture ratios in a total mixed ration.

Percentage (%)	(90:10)	(80:20)	(70:30)	(60:40)
Dry Matter	92.21	92.01	91.39	92.60
Organic Matter	87.55	85.14	87.22	86.86
Crude Protein	7.56	7.88	8.13	11.75
Ether Extract	9.49	7.26	8.26	8.04
Nitrogen free Extract	56.96	49.59	62.36	57.10
ASH	4.66	6.87	4.17	
ADF	32.01	32.56	25.13	22.95
NDF	53.14	48.85	49.97	40.42
Hemicellulose	21.13	16.29	24.84	17.47
M.E (kcal/kg DM)	2292.96	3807.75	3876.30	3893.26

Nutrient digestibility of *Brachiaria ruziziensis*: Concentrate mixture ratios fed to Growing Yankasa rams.

Table 3 presents nutrient digestibility, Pattern of *B.ruziziensis* concentrate mixture fed to Growing Yankasa rams. In this study, High ($P<0.05$) digestibility of the feed was recorded especially at (60:40) ratio of *B.ruziziensis* and concentrate mixture with DMD, OMD, C.P, C.F and E.E (85.43%,85.43%,84.25% 89.07% and 88.20%) with a decline across the treatments the lowest digestibility indices were recorded in Growing Yankasa rams fed 90:10 ratio (80.57,81.40 and 76.12%) respectively. The NFE was not significantly ($P>0.05$) different across the treatments. NDF and ADF digestibility showed significant ($P<0.05$) variation across the treatments. The high digestibility of (59.82 and 50.43%) recorded respectively in 90:10 mixture ratio could be to meet up the microbial need in the rumen which influenced microbial protein synthesis and facilitated fermentation and consequently improved intake and digestibility. (23) classified digestibility of feed as high ($>60\%$), medium (40 - 60%) and low ($<40\%$). This is also in agreement with the report of (24) that the activity of ruminant microbes is improved by the presence of nitrogen. The DMD values obtained in the present study was in agreement with the result obtained by (25) whose value ranged between 61.39% and 84.37% when fore stomach digesta and poultry waste was fed to Uda lambs. The Crude protein digestibility (CPD) was significantly ($P<0.05$) different, the

values range from 76.12 - 84.25% with the highest at (60:40) ratio *B.ruziziensis* and concentrate mixture (84.25%) while the least value of 76.12% was recorded in growing yankasa rams fed (90:10) ratio *B.ruziziensis* and concentrate mixture. The result of this study is similar to the findings of (26) who reported digestibility values of 84.92 to 86.02%, and in conformity with the values reported by (27) whose value ranged between 75.5% and 81.06% when *Ficus sycomorus* leaves were fed to growing yankasa rams. The crude fiber digestibility (CFD) was significantly ($P<0.05$) different among the treatments and that the values 89.07% with rams fed (60/40) ratio *B.ruziziensis* and concentrate mixture recorded the highest CFD while the least CFD value of 73.90% was recorded in growing yankasa rams fed (90:10) ratio *B.ruziziensis* and concentrate mixture. The values recorded were higher than the values 52.70% to 53.7% reported by (28). The lower digestibility values recorded in (90/10) ratio could be attributed to the lower CP content of the *B.ruziziensis* and concentrate mixture diet. (29) reported that digestibility is much reduced when a ration contains little protein in proportion to the amount of readily digestible carbohydrate, also (30) concur on the fact that the higher the fibre fractions, the lower the digestibility. The Nitrogen Free Extract digestibility (NFED) of the growing yankasa rams was not significantly ($P>0.05$) different among treatments but higher than the range of 51.39 - 67.00% reported by (26) when the authors fed cassava peels substitute with *Cajanuscajan* hay.

Table 3: Nutrient digestibility of *Brachiaria ruziziensis*: Concentrate mixture ratios fed to Growing yankasa rams.

Parameters	<i>B.ruziziensis</i> : Concentrate				SEM
	90:10	80:20	70:30	60:40	
Dry Matter	80.57 ^c	82.62 ^b	82.30 ^b	84.90 ^a	1.06*

Ahmed et al

Organic matter	81.40 ^b	82.47 ^b	82.76 ^b	85.43 ^a	1.04*
Crude protein	76.12 ^b	78.44 ^b	77.14 ^b	84.25 ^a	2.26*
Crude fibre	73.90 ^c	87.53 ^b	85.05 ^b	89.07 ^a	1.36*
Nitrogen free extract	83.10	83.38	85.75	84.97	0.96 ^{NS}
ADF	50.43 ^a	51.11 ^a	51.76 ^a	44.31 ^b	3.19*
NDF	59.82 ^a	46.70 ^c	55.77 ^a	51.33 ^b	2.48*
Ether Extract	84.94 ^b	78.78 ^d	82.38 ^c	88.20 ^a	1.01*

^{a b c}: Means with different superscript within a row differed significantly (P<0.05). SEM: Standard error of mean,

* Significant at 5%, ADF= Acid detergent fibre, NDF= Neutral detergent fibre.

Nitrogen Balance in Growing Yankasa Rams fed *Brachiaria ruziziensis*: Concentrate mixture ratios in a total mixed ration.

Table 4 presents Nitrogen balance in growing Yankasa rams fed *Brachiaria ruziziensis* and concentrate mixture ratios nitrogen intake was significantly (P<0.05) higher (61.28g) in growing Yankasa rams on treatment (60:40) ratio *Brachiaria ruziziensis* and concentrate mixture than the other treatments, Faecal nitrogen output was also higher (P<0.05) with growing Yankasa rams on 60:40 mixture excreting (2.13) which is similar to growing Yankasa rams on 90:10 ratio mixture (1.80) Urinary nitrogen output, total nitrogen output (1.59),(3.29) were also higher (P<0.05) in growing Yankasa rams fed on 80:20 mixture but similar to growing Yankasa rams on 60:40 mixture (1.55), (3.75), respectively. Nitrogen absorbed, Nitrogen retained and Nitrogen retained and absorbed as a percentage of

intake (59.16,57.58,93.75 and 96.48) were significantly higher (P<0.05) in growing Yankasa rams fed 60:40 ratio mixture respectively. This provides the fermentable nitrogen for efficient synthesis of essential amino acids and positive nitrogen balance (33; 34). The nitrogen retention and nitrogen absorbed as percentage of intake were above 68.0 % and higher than 47.98 % reported by (31) who fed *Digitaria smutsii* hay supplemented with *Ficus sycomorus* leaf meal but in line with 95.84 % and 74.24 % reported by (32) who fed total mixed rations containing *Parkia biglobosa* forage included at graded levels. Nitrogen retention is the major indicator for assessing the protein nutritional status of ruminant livestock (33;34). It is also the proportion of nitrogen utilized by growing Yankasa rams from the total nitrogen intake for the body processes, hence the more nitrogen consumed and digested the more nitrogen retained and vice versa (35).

Table 4: Nitrogen Balance in Growing Yankasa Rams fed *Brachiaria ruziziensis* : Concentrate mixture ratios in a total mixed ration.

Parameters	<i>B.ruziziensis</i> : concentrate				SEM
	(90:10)	(80:20)	(70:30)	(60:40)	
N intake (g)	28.49 ^c	32.57 ^b	33.92 ^b	61.28 ^a	0.99*
Feecal N output	1.80 ^a	1.70 ^b	1.48 ^b	2.13 ^a	0.12*
Urinary N output	1.04 ^b	1.59 ^a	1.02 ^b	1.55 ^a	0.02*
Total N output	2.84 ^b	3.29 ^a	2.49 ^c	3.70 ^a	0.25*
Nitrogen absorbed	26.69 ^c	30.87 ^b	32.44 ^b	59.16 ^a	1.04*

Ahmed et al

Nitrogen Retained	25.65 ^c	29.26 ^b	31.42 ^b	57.58 ^a	1.16 [*]
N retained as % of intake	89.84 ^b	89.80 ^b	92.62 ^a	93.75 ^a	0.77 [*]
N absorbed as % of intake	93.51 ^c	94.75 ^b	95.62 ^b	96.48 ^a	0.45 [*]

^{a, b, c}: Means with different superscript within a row differed significantly (P<0.05). SEM= Standard Error of Means. * Significant at 5%.

Effects of feeding *Brachiaria ruziziensis* and Concentrate ratios in a total mixed ration on some rumen metabolites of Growing Yankasa Rams

The result of rumen pH, presented in table 5 indicates that growing Yankasa rams fed diet with 60:40 ratio recorded the highest (6.80) which was followed with those fed diet 70:30 ratio (6.63) and least was recorded on growing Yankasa rams fed 80:20 ratios (6.20). (46) have reported a normal pH range of 6.2-6.9. The slightly alkaline rumen condition in this study was probably due to increased concentration of ammonia in the rumen. (36) obtained a pH of 7.1-7.31 when pigeon pea forages-based diets were fed to sheep on rice straw basal diets. Differences in ruminal pH may also result from variations of VFA concentrations, because ruminal pH reflects the rate of fermentation of carbohydrate (37). This can be attributed to the high concentrate inclusion in the total mixed ration, (38) reported that the levels of CP significantly alter ruminal pH. This may also be attributed to higher ruminal NH₃-N may be produced due to feeding high level of CP. TVFA (Total volatile fatty acid), the concentration of the TVFA obtained in this study indicated that growing Yankasa rams fed 60:40 ratio recorded the highest (p<0.05) value 44.87 Mmol/100ml and the least was in growing Yankasa rams fed 90:10 ratio 33.05 Mmol/100ml. Volatile fatty acids (Acetic, propionic and Butyric acid) are the main energy sources for ruminant feeding solely on roughages. Thus, their concentration in the rumen

gave an indication of their energy values. The TVFA concentration value ranges between 33.05 – 44.87 Mmol/100ml in this study which was above 28.8- 56.8 Mmol/100 ml reported by (46) when groundnut haulm was fed to Growing Yankasa rams and 19.57-36.57mmol/l reported by (36) when pigeon pea forage was supplemented in sheep diet. The increase in rumen TVFA in this study is possibly due to increased digestibility of the feed material. Rumen Ammonia (NH₄)-N significantly (p<0.05) differ along the treatments with growing Yankasa rams fed (60:40) ratio recorded the highest value (16.18mg/100ml) and the least was recorded in growing Yankasa rams on 90:10 ratios (12.30mg/100ml). This can be attributed to lower fermentation process due to low concentrate inclusion in the ration mixture. The increased concentration of NH₃-N with increasing CP content was observed by (39). The rumen NH₃-N concentration of 12.30 mg/100ml in this study was within the range of 11.42-14.43mg/100ml recorded by (40). (41) reported that between 3.6-17mg/100ml NH₃-N concentration promotes microbial protein production which is an important factor in determining the utilization of nitrogen in the rumen (36). Also, the increased concentrations of NH₃-N may be attributed to the ruminal degradation of CP as reported by (42). Higher ruminal NH₃-N concentration is accompanied with an increase in the level of dietary CP; Similar results were observed by (43). (44) and (45) also observed rumen NH₃-

Ahmed et al

N level increased with increasing CP level. The increased concentration of NH₃-N in the rumen was mainly

explained by a higher production of ammonia from the degradation of proteins in the rumen (42).

Table 5: Effects of feeding *Brachiaria ruziziensis*: Concentrate mixture ratios on some rumen metabolites of Growing Yankasa Rams

Parameters	<i>B.ruziziensis</i> : Concentrate ratio				SEM	Normal range
	(90:10)	(80:20)	(70:30)	(60:40)		
Rumen pH	6.64 ^{ab}	6.20 ^c	6.63 ^b	6.80 ^a	0.05*	6.4-6.8
TVFA (Mmol/100ml)	33.05 ^c	42.45 ^b	42.59 ^b	44.87 ^a	0.36*	70-150
RumenNH ₃ -N(mg/100ml)	12.30 ^b	12.92 ^b	12.77 ^b	16.18 ^a	0.29*	8.5-30

^{a, b, c}: Means with different superscript within a row differed significantly (P<0.05). SEM: standard error of means,

* Significant at 5%.

CONCLUSION

It is possible to mix *B. ruziziensis* forage and concentrate to feed small ruminants at 60:40 ratio for improved utilization and better performance.

Feeding a 60:40 ratio of *B. ruziziensis* forage and Concentrate mixture gave higher nutrient digestibility of Dry matter 84.90%, Crude protein 84.25%, Nitrogen absorbed as % of intake 96.48% and TVFA concentration 44.87 Mmol/100ml.

REFERENCES

- Osinowo, O. A., Abubakar, B. Y., Adewuyi, A. A., Onifade, O. S. and Dennar, P. O. (1991). Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters of birth weight, weaning weight and pre-weaning gain in Yankasa sheep. In *The Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production, Usmanu Danfodio University, Sokoto, Nigeria*.
- NASS, (2011). National Agricultural Sample Survey Census on Nigerian livestock. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development.
- Glatzle, A. (1992). Feed Resources in the Sahel. *Animal Research and Development*, 35. 43–58.
- Doma, U. D., Mohammed, L. K., and Umeh, A. P. (1999). Observation on the characteristics of small holder sheep and goat management practice in old Bauchi State. *Tropical Journal of Animal Science*, 2: 125–130.(b)
- Otchere, E.D., Ahmed H.H., Adenowo, T.K., Kallah, M.S., Bawa, E.L.K., Olurunju, S.A. and Voh, A.A.Jr. (1987). Sheep and Goat Production in the Traditional Fulani Agropastoral Sector. *World Animal Review*, 64:50-55
- Kallah, M. S., Baba, M., Alawa, J. P., Muhammad, I. R. and Tanko, R. J. (1997). Ensiling quality of Columbus grass (*Sorghum almum*) growth in northern Nigeria. *Animal Science and Technology*, 68: 153 – 163.
- Kibon, A. and Ørskov, E. R. (1993). The use of degradation characteristics of browse plant to predict intake and digestibility of goats. *Animal Production*, 57: 247-251.
- Jayasuriya, M. C. N. (1986). Agro-industrial by-products as ruminants feed. In nuclear and related techniques for improving animals in

- harsh environments. IAEA, Vienna, Austria.
9. Abdel Hameed, A. A., Fedel Elseed, A. M. and Salih, A. M. (2013). Growth Performance and Rumen Fermentation of Lambs Fed Untreated or Urea Treated Groundnut Hull with Different Protein Sources. *Journal of Animal Production Advances*, 3(3): 86–96.
 10. Singh, R. J. (2009). *Genetic resources, chromosome engineering and crop improvement: Forage crops*. CRC Press, Florida, U. S. A. Pp 8-12.
 11. Watson, L. and Dallwitz, M. J. (2008). The grass genera of the world: Descriptions, illustrations, identification and information retrieval; including synonyms, morphology, anatomy, physiology, phytochemistry, cytology, classification, pathogens, world and local distribution and references. *The Grass Genera of the World*. Retrieved from <http://www.tropicalforages.info/key/Forages/Media/Html/glossary.html>. 2011-11-07
 12. Silva, H. G. de O., Pires, A. J. V., Cunha Neto, P. A. da, Carvalho, G. G. P. de, Veloso, C. M. and Silva, F. F. da (2007). Digestibility of nutrients in diets containing ammoniated elephant grass and cocoa meal or palm kernel cake fed to sheep. *Revista Brasileira Zootec.*, 36 (2): 499-506.
 13. Cook, B. G., Pengelly, B. C., Brown, S. D., Donnelly, J. L., Eagles, D. A., Franco, M. A., Hanson, J., Mullen, B. F., Partridge, I. J., Peters, M., Schultze-Kraft, R., 2005. Tropical forages. CSIRO, DPI&F(Qld), CIAT and ILRI, Brisbane, Australia.
 14. Schultze-Kraft, R. and Teitzel, J. K. (1992) *Brachiaria ruziziensis*. Germain & Evrard. In: 't Mannetje, L. and Jones, R.M. (eds) *Plant Resources of South-East Asia No. 4. Forages*. pp. 65–67. (Pudoc Scientific Publishers, Wageningen, the Netherlands).
 15. Ovimap, (2015). Ovi location map: Ovi Earth Imagery Data.
 16. IAR (2017). Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. Meteorological Data Information of Samaru and its Environs.
 17. Osuji, P. O., Nsahlai, I. V, and Khalili, H. (1993). *Feed Evaluation* (ILCA Manual.,No 5). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: ILCA (International Livestock Centre for Africa) 40.
 18. AOAC, (2005). Official Method of Analysis, 17th edition. Association of Analytical Chemists, 24th edition Washington, DC. USA. 200-210.
 19. Van Soest, P. J., Robertson, J. B. and Lewis, B. A (1991). Method for directory Neutral detergent fibre and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to Animal Nutrition, *Journal of Dairy Science*, 74: 3583-3597.
 20. SAS, (Statistical Analysis Systems). (2002). Procedures Guide. North Carolina, USA: Statistical Analysis Systems Institute, Cary.
 21. Duncan D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-test. *Biomet-ric*. 11:1-42.
 22. National Research Council (2007). *Nutrient Requirements for various classes of sheep and goat, Update 2007*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
 23. FAO (1995). Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations. *Food and Nutrition Series*, No.27.

24. Quala, I.D. Yahaya, M.S. Midau, A. Aji, S.B. Muktar, Y.M. and Nyako, H.D. (2011). Assessment of the Digestibility of intercropped sorghum stover with legume (Groundnuts). *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*. 11(3):45-54
25. Usman, H. B., Maigandi, S. A., Hassan, W. A. and Daneji, A. I (2008). Growth performances and Nutrient Utilization by Sokoto red goat Kids fed fore stomach digesta as replacement for cowpea husk. *Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, 16(1):62-70.
26. Fajemisin, A.N., Omotoso, O.B., Fadiyimu, A.A. and Shuaibu. Y.A. (2012). Nutrients Intake and Utilization by West Africa Dwarf Goat Fed Cassava Peels Substituted with Cassava Cajan hay. Proceeding 17th Annual Conference, Animal Science Association, Nigeria, 9-13, 2012, Abuja. Pp 636 - 639.
27. Maigandi. S. A. and Wasagu. A. A. (2002). Nutrient intake and digestibility by Yankasa rams fed varying levels of *Ficus sycomorus* leaves, *proceeding of the 27th conference Nigerian Society for Animal Production (NSAP)* held at Federal University of Technology. Akure Pp.182-184.
28. Nyako.H.D., Joseph,R.A., Ibrahim,B.G. and Gworgwor, Z.A. (2012). Effects of supplementary feeding of cowpea vines on performance of Yankasa Rams offered a basal diet of Gamba grass. *Journal of Arid Agriculture*. 21: 2012,6-11
29. McDonald, P., Edward, R. A., Greenhalgh, J. F. D., Morgan, C. A., Sinclair, L.A. and Wilkinson, (2011). *Animal Nutrition*. 7th Edn., Prentice Hall/Pearson Education Ltd., Harlow, UK.
30. Nsinamwa, M., Moleele, N.M. and Sebego, R. J. (2005). Vegetation patterns and nutrients in relation to grazing pressure and soils in the sandveld and hardveld communal grazing areas of Botswana. *African Journal of Range Forage Science*. 22: 17–28.
31. Yashim, S. M., Jokthan, G. E., Lamidi, O. S., Yakubu, R. L. Hassan, M. R., Ibrahim, T. A. and Abdu, S. B. (2014). Performance, nutrient digestibility and nitrogen balance of growing Yankasa rams fed *Digitaria smutsii* hay supplemented with *Ficus sycomorus* leaf meal. *Nigerian Journal for Animal Science*, 16(1): 85-93.
32. Wada, N. I., Njidda, A. A. Olafadehon, O. A. and Bello, B. (2016). Effect of graded level of *Parkia biglobosa* in concentrate diets on growth performance, digestibility and nitrogen utilization of Yankasa rams. *Nigerian Journal for Animal Production*, 43(1): 231-238.
33. Abdu, S. B., Hasan, M. R., Adamu, H. Y., Yashimi, S. M., and Abdullahi, M. J. (2012). Intake, Nutrient Digestibility and Nitrogen Balance of *Acacia auriculata*, *Gmelina arborea*, *Albizia lebbek* and *Butryospermum parkii* by Yankasa Bucks. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science*, 2(2): 121–125.
34. Hassan M. R., Muhammad, I. R., Amodu, J. T., Jokthan, G. E., Abdul, S. B., Adamu, H. Y., Yashim, S. M., Taofik, I., Tamburawa, M.S. and Musa, A. (2016). Growth performance of Red Sokoto bucks

Ahmed et al

- fed graded levels of Lablab (*Lablab purpureus* L. Sweet) hay as supplement to maize (*Zea mays*) stover basal diet. *Journal of Animal Production research*, 28(1): 283-298.
35. Okeniyi, F. A., Aina, A. B. J., Onwuka, C. F. I. and Suwande, S. O. (2010). Nutrient digestibility of urea maize stover-based diets as dry season feed in West African Dwarf Goats. *Proceedings of the 15th Animal Science Conference Association of Nigeria*, University of Uyo, Nigeria. Pp 663-665.
36. Jokhtan, G.E. (2007). Effect of supplementing Rice straw with pigeon pea forages on rumen and blood Metabolites of Yankasa sheep. A seminar paper presented at the Department of Animal Science ABU Zaria, 18th May 2006.Pp 1-15.
37. Galip, N. (2006). Effects of dietary *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* live yeast culture supplementation on ruminal digestion and protozoa count in rams fed with diets with low or high ratio forage/concentrate. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire*. 157 (12): 609-613.
38. Fievez, V., De Fauw, K., Notteboom, K., Demeyer, D., (2001). Effect of level and origin of rumen degradable nitrogen on rumen microbial growth and nitrogen utilization efficiency of animals fed maize silage at maintenance. *Reproduction Nutrition Development*.,41: 349-364.
39. Gaafar, H.M.A., Mohi El-Din, A.M. A., Basiuoni, M.I., El-Riedy. K.F.A. (2009). Effect of concentrate to roughage ratio and baker's yeast supplementation during hot season on performance of lactating buffaloes. Slovakia, *Journal of Animal Science*. 42, (4): 188 – 195.
40. Jonas, J. And Vilma, V. (2007). Effect of *L.plantarum*, *pediococcus Acdilactici*, *Enterococcus faectum* and *L. Lactis* microbial supplementation of grass silage on the fermentation characteristics in rumen of dairy cows. *Veterinary Journal of Zootechnika*, 40(62) 29 pts@Igi.It.
41. Powel, M.P., Okorie, A. O, Okorie, A. U, Peter, J.B. Wilhain, H.Dyfed, L (1981) Amonia Concentration and protein Synthesis in the rumen. *Journal of science food Agriculture*, 32:759-763.
42. Mathieu, F., Jouany, J.P., Sénaud, J. Ohatier, J.B. Bertin, G. Mercier, M. (1996). The effect of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* on fermentations in the rumen of faunated and defaunated sheep; protozoal and probiotic interactions. *Reproduction Nutrition Development*, 36,271-287.
43. Al-Mallah, O.D.M., (2007). Effect of protein levels in formaldehyde treated rations on coefficient of digestion and performance in Awassi lambs. PhD. Thesis, University of Mosul.
44. Shamoan, M., Saleh N., Abbo N.Y. (2009). Effects of different levels of protein treated with formaldehyde on nutrients digestibility and some rumen and blood parameters in Awassi sheep. *Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Science*. 23, Supplement II, 169-173.
45. Chen, S., Paengkoum, C. P. Xia, X and Na-Lumpang, P., (2010). Effects of dietary protein on ruminal fermentation, nitrogen utilization and crude protein maintenance in

Ahmed et al

- growing Thai-indigenous beef cattle fed rice straw as roughage. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*. 9: 2396-2400.
46. Adamu.H.Y. (2015). Assessment of two groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L*) varieties for forage, pod yield characteristics and effect of feeding the haulm to Yankasa rams. Ph.D Thesis Pp 72-82.